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## In search of topological states with half quantum vortices

- Topological order and fractionalization
- $1 / 2$ QV's
- Stability of $1 / 2-$ QV's in SrRuO
- 1/2 QV lattices

Ground state degeneracy


## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

## Ground state degeneracy

## Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.


## Ground state degeneracy

## Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\leftrightarrow$ reduced symmetry


## Ground state degeneracy

## Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\leftrightarrow$ reduced symmetry
- Local measurements $\Leftrightarrow$ order parameter


## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\leftrightarrow$ reduced symmetry
- Local measurements $\Leftrightarrow$ order parameter

Topological order

## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\leftrightarrow$ reduced symmetry
- Local measurements $\Leftrightarrow$ order parameter

Topological order

- Gapped spectrum


## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\leftrightarrow$ reduced symmetry
- Local measurements $\Leftrightarrow$ order parameter

Topological order

- Gapped spectrum
- No local order parameter.


## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\Leftrightarrow$ reduced symmetry
- Local measurements $\Leftrightarrow$ order parameter

Topological order

- Gapped spectrum
- No local order parameter.
- Topological degeneracy $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{g}}$.


## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\rightarrow$ reduced symmetry
- Local measurements $\Leftrightarrow$ order parameter


## Topological order



- Gapped spectrum
- No local order parameter.
- Topological degeneracy $\mathrm{Ng}_{\mathrm{g}}$.


## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\Leftrightarrow$ reduced symmetry
- Local measurements $\Leftrightarrow$ order parameter


## Topological order



- Gapped spectrum
- No local order parameter.
- Topological degeneracy $\mathrm{Ng}_{\mathrm{g}}$.


## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\Leftrightarrow$ reduced symmetry
- Local measurements $\Leftrightarrow$ order parameter

Topological order


- Gapped spectrum
- No local order parameter.
- Topological degeneracy $\mathrm{Ng}_{\mathrm{g}}$.


## Ground state degeneracy

Conventional order

- Symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian.
$\leftrightarrow$ reduced symmetry
- Local measurements $\Leftrightarrow$ order parameter

Topological order


- Gapped spectrum
- Topological invariance
$\leftrightarrow$ emergent symmetry
- No local order parameter.
- Topological degeneracy $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{g}}$.
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$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{1} \\
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## Nonabelian statistics

©n- nonabelian vortex states $\Rightarrow$ set of Qubits

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\psi_{d(n)}
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\text { exchange of qp's: } \\
\text { rotation in } d(n) \mathrm{dim} \\
\text { Hilbert space }
\end{array} \\
\Psi\left(x_{1} \leftrightarrow x_{3}\right)=\underline{M \Psi}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \\
\Psi\left(x_{1} \leftrightarrow x_{2}\right)=\underline{N \Psi}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \\
\mathrm{d}(2 n)=2^{n-1} \text { for MR state or } \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{ip} \mathrm{SC}
\end{gathered}
$$
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A. Leggett, RMP 1975 Sigrist \& Ueda RMP 1991
(2) Gap function $\Delta_{s s^{\prime}}(\mathbf{k})=-\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}, s_{3}, s_{4}} V_{s^{\prime} s s_{3} s_{4}}\left(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)\left\langle a_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime} s_{3}} a_{-\mathbf{k}^{\prime} s_{4}}\right\rangle$

$$
\widehat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k})=-\widehat{\Delta}^{T}(-\mathbf{k})
$$

- Singlet gap function

$$
\widehat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k})=i \widehat{\sigma}_{y} \psi(\mathbf{k})=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \psi(\mathbf{k}) \\
-\psi(\mathbf{k}) & 0
\end{array}\right|
$$

(2) Odd-Parity: $\hat{\Delta}(\mathrm{k})$ is odd in $k$, spin triplet

- Triplet gap matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\Delta}(\mathbf{k}) & =i(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \hat{\sigma}) \hat{\sigma}_{y} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-d_{x}(\mathbf{k})+i d_{y}(\mathbf{k}) & d_{z}(\mathbf{k}) \\
d_{z}(\mathbf{k}) & d_{x}(\mathbf{k})+i d_{y}(\mathbf{k})
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) T-breaking (ABM)

$$
\Delta \hat{(\mathbf{k}})=\Delta_{0}(T)\left(p_{x} \pm i p_{y}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-d_{x}+i d_{y} & d_{z} \\
d_{z} & d_{x}+i d_{y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\hat{d}$ is a real unit vector

- In plane $\hat{\mathrm{d}}$
$d_{z}=0$ ie., $\mathbf{d}=(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha, 0)$
$\Delta \hat{(k)}=\Delta_{0}(T)\left(p_{x} \pm i p_{y}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}-e^{-i \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i \alpha}\end{array}\right)$
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## 1/2 QV with in-plane d

- The gap matrix

$$
\Delta \hat{(k)}=\Delta_{0}(T)\left(p_{x} \pm i p_{y}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-e^{-i \alpha} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \alpha}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(2) $1 / 2$ QV when $\mathrm{d}=(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha, 0)$
$\Leftrightarrow 2 \pi$ winding for only one spin component
$\Leftrightarrow \pi$ winding of order parameter phase $\phi$ $+\pi$ rotation of $d$ vector

$$
\underbrace{\Delta \phi=2 \pi}_{h c / 2 e \text { vortex }}
$$
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## Why? Exotic nature of $1 / 2$ QV in p+ip

eVortices of p+ip SF $\Rightarrow$ zero modes at the core Kopnin and Salomaa PRB (1991)

- Zero modes are Majorana
, BdG qp's $\gamma_{i}^{\dagger}=u \psi_{i}^{\dagger}+v \psi_{i} \gamma_{i}^{\dagger}\left(E_{m}\right)=\gamma_{i}\left(-E_{n}\right)$
, zero mode: $\gamma_{i}^{\dagger}(0)=\gamma_{i}(0)$
- Majorana + vortex composite
non-Abelian statistics


Das Sarma, Tewari, Nayak (06) Stone \& Chung(06) Ivanov(01)
1/2 QV's: single Majorana zero mode

## 5/2 state described as p+ip paired stated of composite fermion

Pfaffian is real space many body BCS wave function of $p+i p$ SF

HQV is equivalent to $1 / 4 \mathrm{qP}$
Moore \& Read (91) Read \& Green (00)
Schriffer, p 48

# In search of topological states with fractionalized excitations. 

- Topological order and fractionalization
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## K. Ishida et al, Nature (1998)

Spin-triplet superconductivity in $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{RuO}_{4}$ identified by ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ Knight shift


## Experiments?

(2) NMR on ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$ thin films: X Hakonen et al. Physica (89)
© Small angle neutron scattering: $X$ Riseman et al. Nature (98)
(2) Scanning SQUID imaging: $X$ Dolocan et al, PRL (05), Bjorsson et al, PRB (05)
(2) NMR in the presence of $\mathbf{H} \perp a b$
, $\mathbf{d} / / a b$ : for $H_{\perp} \approx 200 \mathrm{G}$, Murakawa et al, PRL (04)
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## Energetics

. Energy competition between full-QV and 1/2-QV

- Reducing vorticity saves magnetic energy
- d-vector bending costs energy
( Gradient free energy when $\mathrm{d} \perp \mathrm{L}$ (London limit)

$$
f_{\text {grad }}^{2 \mathrm{D}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\hbar}{2 m}\right)^{2}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\nabla_{\perp} \phi-\frac{2 e}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}\right)^{2}+\rho_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(\nabla_{\perp} \alpha\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{8 \pi}(\nabla \times \mathbf{A})^{2}
$$

© Spin current energy diverges logarithmically!

$$
\epsilon_{\mathrm{sp}}=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{\hbar}{2 m}\right)^{2} \rho_{\mathrm{sp}} \ln \left(\frac{R}{\xi}\right)
$$

## stability of $1 / 2$ QV



$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\text {pair }}^{\text {half }}\left(r_{12}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Phi_{0}^{2}}{16 \pi^{2} \lambda^{2}}\left[\ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{\xi}\right)+K_{0}\left(\frac{r_{12}}{\lambda}\right)+\frac{\rho_{\text {sp }}}{\rho_{\mathrm{s}}} \ln \left(\frac{r_{12}}{\xi}\right)\right] \\
& E^{\text {full }}=\pi\left(\frac{\hbar}{2 m}\right)^{2} \rho_{\mathrm{s}} \ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{\xi}\right)=\frac{\Phi_{0}^{2}}{16 \pi^{2} \lambda^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{\xi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\Delta \chi=2 \pi$

- Competition between screened magnetic repulsion and unscreened spin attraction
- Finite equilibrium size for small $\rho_{s p} / \rho_{s}$


Leggett RMP 75

## Mesoscopic sample

- Sample of size $\sim \lambda$ a few micron


$$
L=2 \lambda
$$



Underway in Budakian lab

- Sample of size $\sim \lambda$ a few micron
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## 1/2 QV Lattice?

- Natural way to stabilize $1 / 2$ QV
(2) Good track record for full QV lattice
-Agterberg, PRB (98) predicted square lattice
-T. Riseman at el , Nature (98) confirmed square lattice
(2) Potential of tuning $\rho_{s p} / \rho_{s}$
-Knowledge exist for $\rho_{s p} / \rho_{s}$ as a function of Fermi liquid parameters
-p-wave Feshbach resonance


## 1/2 QV Lattice?

(2) SC (SF) with additional U(1) symmetry due to $\hat{\mathrm{d}}$ rotation
(2) Interlacing lattices of two types of vortices

-Different geometry depending on density and LL mixing

- Similar case arise in spinor condensate

| a व्र्ध | b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

Muller \& Ho(02)
Barnett, Mukergee \& Moore(08)
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Field distribution as can be measured by neutron

## Stiffness engineering?

© p-wave Feshbach resonance can allow for tuning for Fermi liquid parameters

$$
H=\sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon(\mathbf{p}) a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}}+\sum_{\mathbf{p}, \alpha}\left[\epsilon_{\alpha}+\frac{\epsilon(\mathbf{p})}{2}\right] b_{\mathbf{p} \alpha}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{p} \alpha}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \alpha} g_{\mathbf{p}} p_{\alpha}\left(b_{\mathbf{q} \alpha} a_{\mathbf{p}+\frac{\mathbf{a}}{2}}^{\dagger} a_{-\mathbf{p}+\frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}}^{\dagger}+\text { h.c. }\right)
$$

Gurarie, L. Radzihovsky, \& A. V. Andreev (05)

- Hope to arrive at a PD where $\rho_{s p} / \rho_{s}$ can be tuned as a function of microscopic parameter
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## In search of topological states with half quantum vortices


(2) $1 / 2$ QV's are not stable in bulk systems
(2) Mesoscopic samples could favor $1 / 2$ QV's
(1/2 QV Vortex Lattice can be pursued and detected

